CIV 68 (Rev. Dec. 1998)

K:\COMMON\FORMS\CIV-68.

DEPUTY

CIV 68 (Rev. Dec. 1998)

Case 3:08	8-cv-00647-J-NLS	Document 1	Filed 04/09/2008	Page 3 of 15
following w	vith respect to that pe	tition:	States Supreme Cour	· •
` '				
(b) Date of	of result, case numbe	r and citation, if l	cnown:	
(c) Groun	nds raised:			
	<del></del>	<del></del>		
				•
·	COLLATER	RAL REVIEW II	N STATE COURT	
previously f	filed any petitions, ap th respect to this judg	plications, or mo	onviction and sentence tions (e.g., a Petition f ornia Superior Cour	or Writ of Habeas
15. If your answ	ver to #14 was "Yes,"	give the followi	ng information:	
(a) Califo	ornia Superior Cou	rt Case Number:_	HC 17091 (3rd P	etition), SCD 1546
	e of proceeding:	Habeas Corpus		
				***
(c) Grour	nds raised:D	due Process, Cl	NNINGHAM V. U.S. S	SUPREME COURT
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	ou receive an evident s XX No	iary hearing on y	our petition, application	on or motion?
(e) Resul	t:			
(f) Date of	t: of result:	•		
. (1)				
previously f	filed any petitions, ap th respect to this judge	plications, or mot	onviction and sentence ions (e.g., a Petition for prnia Court of Appea	or Writ of Habeas
			·	*.
	•	•		
CIV 68 (Rev. Dec. 1998)	· ·	. 3	ĸ	:\COMMON\FORMS\CIV-68.

(a)	California Court of Appeal Case Number: D051088
(c)	Grounds raised: Due Process, CINNINGHAM V. U.S. SUPREME COURT
	<u> </u>
	3
	Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion?  ☐ Yes XX No
(e)	Result:
(f)	Date of result:
Corp	us) with respect to this judgment in the California Supreme Court?
Corp Yo Yo If yo (a)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Corp Y Ye If yo (a)	with respect to this judgment in the California Supreme Court?  The same of the same of the following information:  California Supreme Court Case Number:  S155731  Nature of proceeding:  Habeas Corpus
Corp Yo If yo (a) (b)	ur answer to #18 was "Yes," give the following information:  California Supreme Court Case Number:  Nature of proceeding:  Habeas Corpus
Corp Yo If yo (a) (b)	with respect to this judgment in the California Supreme Court?  The same of the same of the following information:  California Supreme Court Case Number:  S155731  Nature of proceeding:  Habeas Corpus
Corp Yo Yo If yo (a) (b)	with respect to this judgment in the California Supreme Court?  The same of the same of the following information:  California Supreme Court Case Number:  S155731  Nature of proceeding:  Habeas Corpus
Corp Yo Yo If yo (a) (b)	with respect to this judgment in the California Supreme Court?  The same of the same of the following information:  California Supreme Court Case Number:  S155731  Nature of proceeding:  Habeas Corpus
Corp Ye If yo (a) (b)	wish respect to this judgment in the California Supreme Court?  es  No  ur answer to #18 was "Yes," give the following information:  California Supreme Court Case Number:  Nature of proceeding:  Habeas Corpus  Grounds raised:  Due Process, CINNINGHAM V. U.S. SUPREME COURT
Corp Yo If yo (a) (b)	ur answer to #18 was "Yes," give the following information:  California Supreme Court Case Number:  S155731  Nature of proceeding:  Habeas Corpus  Grounds raised:  Due Process, CINNINGHAM V. U.S. SUPREME COURT  Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion?
Corp Ye If yo (a) (b) (c)	with respect to this judgment in the California Supreme Court?  es
Corp Yo If yo (a) (b)	ur answer to #18 was "Yes," give the following information:  California Supreme Court Case Number:  S155731  Nature of proceeding:  Habeas Corpus  Grounds raised:  Due Process, CINNINGHAM V. U.S. SUPREME COURT  Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion?

COLLATERAL REVIEW IN FEDERAL COURT  21. Is this your first federal petition for writ of habeas corpus challenging this conviction?  22. (a) If no, in what federal court was the prior action filed?  (i) What was the prior case number?  (ii) Was the prior action (CHECK ONE):  Denied on the merits?  Dismissed for procedural reasons?  (iii) Date of decision:  (b) Were any of the issues in this current petition also raised in the prior federal petition?  Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \)  (c) If the prior case was denied on the merits, has the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals given you permission to file this second or successive petition?  Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \)  CAUTION:  Exhaustion of State Court Remedies: In order to proceed in federal court you must ordinarily first exhaust your state court remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court. This means that even if you have exhausted some grounds be raising them before the California Supreme Court, you must first present all other ground to the California Supreme Court before raising them in your federal Petition.  Single Petition: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this Petition challenging a specifically gudgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the sam judgment at a later date.  Factual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. For example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did or failed to do.	40	Case 3:08-cv-00647-J-NLS
COLLATERAL REVIEW IN FEDERAL COURT  21. Is this your first federal petition for writ of habeas corpus challenging this conviction?  **27 Yes \Boxedown No (IF "YES" SKIP TO #22)  (a) If no, in what federal court was the prior action filed?  (i) What was the prior case number?  (ii) Was the prior action (CHECK ONE):  \Boxedown Desired on the merits?  \Boxedown Dismissed for procedural reasons?  (iii) Date of decision:  (b) Were any of the issues in this current petition also raised in the prior federal petition?  \Boxedown Yes \Boxedown No  (c) If the prior case was denied on the merits, has the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals given you permission to file this second or successive petition?  \Boxedown Yes \Boxedown No  CAUTION:  • Exhaustion of State Court Remedies: In order to proceed in federal court you must ordinarily first exhaust your state court remedies as to each ground on which you reques action by the federal court. This means that even if you have exhausted some grounds b raising them before the California Supreme Court, you must first present all other ground to the California Supreme Court before raising them in your federal Petition.  • Single Petition: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this Petition challenging a specifi judgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the sam judgment at a later date.  • Factual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. Fo example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did not have the proof of the proof o	20.	If you did not file a petition, application or motion (e.g., a Petition for Review or a Petition
COLLATERAL REVIEW IN FEDERAL COURT  21. Is this your first federal petition for writ of habeas corpus challenging this conviction?  ***E** Yes \( \) No (If "YES" SKIP TO #22)  (a) If no, in what federal court was the prior action filed?  (i) What was the prior case number?  (ii) Was the prior action (CHECK ONE):  \( \) Denied on the merits?  \( \) Dismissed for procedural reasons?  (iii) Date of decision:  (b) Were any of the issues in this current petition also raised in the prior federal petition?  \( \) Yes \( \) No  (c) If the prior case was denied on the merits, has the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals given you permission to file this second or successive petition?  \( \) Yes \( \) No  CAUTION:  • Exhaustion of State Court Remedies: In order to proceed in federal court you must ordinarily first exhaust your state court remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court. This means that even if you have exhausted some grounds be raising them before the California Supreme Court, you must first present all other ground to the California Supreme Court before raising them in your federal Petition.  • Single Petition: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this Petition challenging a specifically you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the sam judgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the sam judgment at a later date.  • Factual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. Fo example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did to the country of the call and the province of the country of the country of the country of the call of the country of the call of the country of the call of the country of the country of the call of the country of the call of the country		
21. Is this your first federal petition for writ of habeas corpus challenging this conviction?		raised in this federal Petition, explain briefly why you did not:
21. Is this your first federal petition for writ of habeas corpus challenging this conviction?    ★ Yes		
21. Is this your first federal petition for writ of habeas corpus challenging this conviction?    ★ Yes		
21. Is this your first federal petition for writ of habeas corpus challenging this conviction?  x ∀es □ No (IF "YES" SKIP TO #22)  (a) If no, in what federal court was the prior action filed?  (i) What was the prior action (CHECK ONE):  □ Denied on the merits?  □ Dismissed for procedural reasons?  (iii) Date of decision:  (b) Were any of the issues in this current petition also raised in the prior federal petition?  □ Yes □ No  (c) If the prior case was denied on the merits, has the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals given you permission to file this second or successive petition?  □ Yes □ No  CAUTION:  • Exhaustion of State Court Remedies: In order to proceed in federal court you must ordinarily first exhaust your state court remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court. This means that even if you have exhausted some grounds be raising them before the California Supreme Court, you must first present all other ground to the California Supreme Court before raising them in your federal Petition.  • Single Petition: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this Petition challenging a specifi judgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the sam judgment at a later date.  • Eactual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. Fo example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did		
21. Is this your first federal petition for writ of habeas corpus challenging this conviction?	•	
21. Is this your first federal petition for writ of habeas corpus challenging this conviction?  xin Yes □ No (IF "YES" SKIP TO #22)  (a) If no, in what federal court was the prior action filed?  (i) What was the prior action (CHECK ONE):  □ Denied on the merits? □ Dismissed for procedural reasons?  (iii) Date of decision: □ Yes □ No  (c) If the prior case was denied on the merits, has the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals given you permission to file this second or successive petition? □ Yes □ No  CAUTION:  ■ Exhaustion of State Court Remedies: In order to proceed in federal court you must ordinarily first exhaust your state court remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court. This means that even if you have exhausted some grounds be raising them before the California Supreme Court, you must first present all other ground to the California Supreme Court before raising them in your federal Petition.  • Single Petition: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this Petition challenging a specific judgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the sam judgment at a later date.  • Factual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. Fo example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did		
(i) What was the prior case number?  (ii) Was the prior action (CHECK ONE):  □ Denied on the merits? □ Dismissed for procedural reasons?  (iii) Date of decision: □ Yes □ No  (c) If the prior case was denied on the merits, has the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals given you permission to file this second or successive petition? □ Yes □ No  CAUTION:  • Exhaustion of State Court Remedies: In order to proceed in federal court you must ordinarily first exhaust your state court remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court. This means that even if you have exhausted some grounds be raising them before the California Supreme Court, you must first present all other ground to the California Supreme Court before raising them in your federal Petition.  • Single Petition: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this Petition challenging a specifi judgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the sam judgment at a later date.  • Factual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. Fo example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did		COLLATERAL REVIEW IN FEDERAL COURT
(i) What was the prior case number?  (ii) Was the prior action (CHECK ONE):  □ Denied on the merits? □ Dismissed for procedural reasons?  (iii) Date of decision: □ Yes □ No  (c) If the prior case was denied on the merits, has the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals given you permission to file this second or successive petition? □ Yes □ No  CAUTION:  • Exhaustion of State Court Remedies: In order to proceed in federal court you must ordinarily first exhaust your state court remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court. This means that even if you have exhausted some grounds be raising them before the California Supreme Court, you must first present all other ground to the California Supreme Court before raising them in your federal Petition.  • Single Petition: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this Petition challenging a specifi judgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the sam judgment at a later date.  • Factual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. Fo example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did	21.	Is this your first federal petition for writ of habeas corpus challenging this conviction?
(a) If no, in what federal court was the prior action filed?  (i) What was the prior case number?  (ii) Was the prior action (CHECK ONE):  □ Denied on the merits? □ Dismissed for procedural reasons?  (iii) Date of decision:  (b) Were any of the issues in this current petition also raised in the prior federal petition? □ Yes □ No  (c) If the prior case was denied on the merits, has the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals given you permission to file this second or successive petition? □ Yes □ No  CAUTION:  • Exhaustion of State Court Remedies: In order to proceed in federal court you must ordinarily first exhaust your state court remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court. This means that even if you have exhausted some grounds be raising them before the California Supreme Court, you must first present all other ground to the California Supreme Court before raising them in your federal Petition. • Single Petition: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this Petition challenging a specific judgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the sam judgment at a later date.  • Factual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. For example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did.		☑ Yes □ No (If "Yes" Skip to #22)
(ii) Was the prior action (CHECK ONE):  □ Denied on the merits? □ Dismissed for procedural reasons? (iii) Date of decision: □ Yes □ No  (c) If the prior case was denied on the merits, has the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals given you permission to file this second or successive petition? □ Yes □ No  CAUTION:  • Exhaustion of State Court Remedies: In order to proceed in federal court you must ordinarily first exhaust your state court remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court. This means that even if you have exhausted some grounds be raising them before the California Supreme Court, you must first present all other ground to the California Supreme Court before raising them in your federal Petition.  • Single Petition: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this Petition challenging a specific judgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the sam judgment at a later date.  • Factual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. Fo example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did		(a) If no, in what federal court was the prior action filed?
(iii) Was the prior action (CHECK ONE):  □ Denied on the merits? □ Dismissed for procedural reasons?  (iii) Date of decision: □ Yes □ No  (c) If the prior case was denied on the merits, has the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals given you permission to file this second or successive petition? □ Yes □ No  CAUTION:  • Exhaustion of State Court Remedies: In order to proceed in federal court you must ordinarily first exhaust your state court remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court. This means that even if you have exhausted some grounds be raising them before the California Supreme Court, you must first present all other ground to the California Supreme Court before raising them in your federal Petition.  • Single Petition: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this Petition challenging a specific judgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the sam judgment at a later date.  • Factual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. Fo example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who die		(i) What was the prior case number?
□ Dismissed for procedural reasons?  (iii) Date of decision:  (b) Were any of the issues in this current petition also raised in the prior federal petition?  □ Yes □ No  (c) If the prior case was denied on the merits, has the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals given you permission to file this second or successive petition?  □ Yes □ No  CAUTION:  • Exhaustion of State Court Remedies: In order to proceed in federal court you must ordinarily first exhaust your state court remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court. This means that even if you have exhausted some grounds be raising them before the California Supreme Court, you must first present all other ground to the California Supreme Court before raising them in your federal Petition.  • Single Petition: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this Petition challenging a specific judgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the same judgment at a later date.  • Factual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. For example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did.		
<ul> <li>(iii) Date of decision:</li></ul>		☐ Denied on the merits?
<ul> <li>(b) Were any of the issues in this current petition also raised in the prior federal petition?  ☐ Yes ☐ No</li> <li>(c) If the prior case was denied on the merits, has the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals given you permission to file this second or successive petition?  ☐ Yes ☐ No</li> <li>Exhaustion of State Court Remedies: In order to proceed in federal court you must ordinarily first exhaust your state court remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court. This means that even if you have exhausted some grounds be raising them before the California Supreme Court, you must first present all other ground to the California Supreme Court before raising them in your federal Petition.</li> <li>Single Petition: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this Petition challenging a specific judgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the same judgment at a later date.</li> <li>Factual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. For example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did</li> </ul>		☐ Dismissed for procedural reasons?
<ul> <li>□ Yes □ No</li> <li>(c) If the prior case was denied on the merits, has the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals given you permission to file this second or successive petition? <ul> <li>□ Yes □ No</li> </ul> </li> <li>Exhaustion of State Court Remedies: In order to proceed in federal court you must ordinarily first exhaust your state court remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court. This means that even if you have exhausted some grounds be raising them before the California Supreme Court, you must first present all other ground to the California Supreme Court before raising them in your federal Petition.</li> <li>Single Petition: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this Petition challenging a specific judgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the same judgment at a later date.</li> <li>Factual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. For example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did</li> </ul>	•	
given you permission to file this second or successive petition?  Yes No  Exhaustion of State Court Remedies: In order to proceed in federal court you must ordinarily first exhaust your state court remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court. This means that even if you have exhausted some grounds be raising them before the California Supreme Court, you must first present all other ground to the California Supreme Court before raising them in your federal Petition.  Single Petition: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this Petition challenging a specific judgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the sam judgment at a later date.  Factual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. Fo example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did		
<ul> <li>Exhaustion of State Court Remedies: In order to proceed in federal court you must ordinarily first exhaust your state court remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court. This means that even if you have exhausted some grounds be raising them before the California Supreme Court, you must first present all other ground to the California Supreme Court before raising them in your federal Petition.</li> <li>Single Petition: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this Petition challenging a specific judgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the same judgment at a later date.</li> <li>Factual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. For example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did</li> </ul>		given you permission to file this second or successive petition?
<ul> <li>Exhaustion of State Court Remedies: In order to proceed in federal court you must ordinarily first exhaust your state court remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court. This means that even if you have exhausted some grounds be raising them before the California Supreme Court, you must first present all other ground to the California Supreme Court before raising them in your federal Petition.</li> <li>Single Petition: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this Petition challenging a specific judgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the sam judgment at a later date.</li> <li>Factual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. For example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did</li> </ul>		□ Yes □ No
ordinarily first exhaust your state court remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court. This means that even if you have exhausted some grounds be raising them before the California Supreme Court, you must first present all other ground to the California Supreme Court before raising them in your federal Petition.  Single Petition: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this Petition challenging a specific judgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the same judgment at a later date.  Factual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. For example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did	CAU	TION:
ordinarily first exhaust your state court remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court. This means that even if you have exhausted some grounds be raising them before the California Supreme Court, you must first present all other ground to the California Supreme Court before raising them in your federal Petition.  Single Petition: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this Petition challenging a specific judgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the same judgment at a later date.  Factual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. For example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did		• Exhaustion of State Court Remedies: In order to proceed in federal court you must
<ul> <li>Single Petition: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this Petition challenging a specific judgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the same judgment at a later date.</li> <li>Factual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. For example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did</li> </ul>		ordinarily first exhaust your state court remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court. This means that even if you have exhausted some grounds by raising them before the California Supreme Court, you must first present all other grounds
judgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the sam judgment at a later date.  • Factual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. Fo example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did		to the California Supreme Court before raising them in your federal Petition.
example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did	·	• Single Petition: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this Petition challenging a specific judgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the same judgment at a later date.
forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is - state who did	,	• Factual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. For example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting
·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		exactly what to violate your federal constitutional rights at what time or place.

Case 3:08-cv-00647-J-NLS Document 1 Filed 04/09/2008 Page 6 of 15 TABLE OF AUTHORITIES Cases.. People v. Coronado (1995) 12 Cal 4th, 145 People v. Esquibel (2006) 49 CR 3rd, 393 People v. Tillotson (2007) DJDAR 17736 People v. Williams (2004) 34 Cal 4th, 397, 402 CA Rules of Court, Rule (4420e) 

5

#### Case 3:08-cv-00647-J-NLS Document 1 Filed 04/09/2008 Page 7 of 15

#### **GROUNDS FOR RELIEF**

- 22. State concisely every ground on which you claim that you are being held in violation of the constitution, law or treaties of the United States. Summarize briefly the facts supporting each ground. If necessary, you may attach pages stating additional grounds and/or facts supporting each ground.
  - PETITIONER WAS IMPROPERLY SENTENCED TO THE UPPER TERM (a) GROUND ONE: BASED UPON THE DISCRETION OF THE SENTENCING JUDGE WITHOUT A JURY FINDING OF FACT TO THE AGGRAVATING FACTORS. PURSUANT TO THE RECENT U.S. SUPREME (Cont. See Attached) Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law) On August 23, 2001, Petitioner Richard Allen Cuevas, Case No. SCD154691 was sentenced to 13 years, without a jury finding the truth of the aggravating factors that were utilized to attain the upper term on the single charge of 667/187(a). Upon review of this petition of Habeas Corpus, by hte Superior Court of San Diego (See exhibit (C)), the Court contends that by "Stipulating to the Sentence," Petitioner admitted that there were aggravating factors justifying the imposition of the upper term. Petitioner insists that in the transcripts (see exhibit (B)), there are mitigating factors specified throughout the text versus the aggravating factors that were relied upon to justify an imposition of an upper term. Moreover, if any factors that were utilized in the court's discretion to reach it's selection of the upper term can only have been the enhancement of Great Bodily Injury which carries 3 years, that was already included in the plea bargain, thus creating an invalid usage of such factor in arriving at the aggravated sentence of 9 years for the charge of 667/187(a), due to the fact that an underlying enhancement cannot do double duty: it cannot be used to impose an upper term sentence and, on top of that an enhanced term (Penal Code 1170(B)). The sentencing Judge solely upon his discretion found the aggravating merited the upper term. The U.S. Supreme Court found such a departure to be error. The sentencing Judge, it was found, did not have such a discretion. (See attached) Did you raise GROUND ONE in the California Supreme Court? Yes D No.

Case 3:08-cv-00647-J-NLS Document 1 Filed 04/09/2008 Page 8 of 15

(GROUNDS FOR RELIEF CONTINUED)
RULING IN CUNNINGHAM V. CALIFORNIA, SUCH ERROR REQUIRES CORRECTION
OF THIS ERROR OF SENTENCE.

U

^

g

0

3

5

6

1

(Supporting Facts continued)

7 8

(B)).

10 11

9

12 13

14

15

**1**6

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

**2**5

26 27

28

(see attached Abstract of Judgement, exh. (A)). The sentencing Judge did not have a Juries determination for the aggravating factor necessary for the Judge to impose the upper term legally. It was the Judges ministruals duty, absent a Juries finding to submit a

"Seperate concise Statement of the ultimate facts," (Rule 4420e) which is absent from Petitioners sentencing procedure (see exhibit

Petitioner respectfully requests that this error be corrected

and the mid-term be applied to his sentence. Petitioner may waive

a Jury trial during plea bargain procedures, but not his Consti-

tutional rights to Due Process. Absent a Jury, the Judge had specific

duties which required neither discretion to set the upper term without

complying with these duties. A right to a Jury trial imposes all

these rights to Petitioner and duties for the Judge.

Petitioner requests a new, corrected and modified Abstract of Judgement reflecting this changed, with all applicable credits being applied to his time served and pre-sentence time served reflected.

Petitioner is not rescinding his plea bargain nor is requesting to have his plea bargain dismissed/withdrawn. He simply requests to have his sentence corrected to reflect the finding in Cunningham.

Cunningham has been interpreted by multiple State Appellate

Courts in California and has been interpreted in different manners.

Some Judges are agreeing with the U.S. Supreme Court such as in

(People v. Tillotson 2007), that sole discretion of a sentencing

Judge has been vacated of their power to utilize factors presented

before the court to enhance and aggravate a term.

In no particular instance were the Judges given the authority

(Supporting facts, P.3)

to use their own discretion when imposing an aggravated sentence.

All aggravating factors, according to the Cunningham ruling must
be presented to a Jury in order to be proven "beyond a reasonable"

doubt."

In the (People v. Tillotson) case Tillotson contends that imposing an enhancement twice constitutes an unauthorized sentence. Petitioner of this Writ also contends that this arguement is also having a bearing on his confinement. (People v. Coronado, 1995), explains the two types of sentence enhancements; (1) Those that go to the nature of the offender, that in this particular instance is null and void, being that this is Petitioners first and only offense, in eithe Juvenile or Adult jurisdictions; (2) Those that go to the nature of the offense, this second method of applying an enhancement is being occupied to aggravate the charge of 667/187(a) as well as serving a concurrent duty in the form of Great Bodily Injury and the use of a deadly weapon. Therefore, as stated in (People v. Williams, 2004), this status enhancement may only be imposed once in arriving at an aggregate sentence.

Petitioner further contends that "A defendant does not forfeit or waive a legal arguement that was not recognized at the time of sentencing, (People v. Esquibel, 2006). Henceforth, because the sentencing Judge imposed an upper term sentence on the single count of 187(a), without finding it to be true beyond a reasonable by a Jury, the error is to be viewed as not being harmless to the Petitioner, and that the sentence be modified in light of Cunningham as requested throughout the California Appelate Courts.

ПΥ	les X™ No	
. If yo	our answer to #23 is "Yes," give the following info	ormation:
(a)	Name of Court:	
(b)	) Case Number:	
(c)	) Case Number: ) Date action filed:	
(d)	) Nature of proceeding:	
·	Grounds raised:	
( )	•	
(f)	Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your p	etition, application or motion?
Give stage	☐ Yes ☐ No  e the name and address, if known, of each attorney es of the judgment attacked herein:	who represented you in the followin
Give stage	☐ Yes ☐ No  e the name and address, if known, of each attorney es of the judgment attacked herein:  At preliminary hearing: Francis	who represented you in the followin
Give stage (a)	□ Yes □ No  the name and address, if known, of each attorney es of the judgment attacked herein: At preliminary hearing:  San Diego Calif	who represented you in the followin J. Bardsley fornia
Give stage (a)	☐ Yes ☐ No  e the name and address, if known, of each attorney es of the judgment attacked herein:  At preliminary hearing: Francis	who represented you in the followin J. Bardsley fornia
Give stage (a)	□ Yes □ No  the name and address, if known, of each attorney es of the judgment attacked herein: At preliminary hearing:  San Diego Calif	who represented you in the followin J. Bardsley fornia
Give stage (a)	□ Yes □ No  e the name and address, if known, of each attorney es of the judgment attacked herein:  At preliminary hearing:  San Diego Calif  At arraignment and plea:  SAME AS A	who represented you in the followin J. Bardsley fornia
Give stage (a) (b)	□ Yes □ No  e the name and address, if known, of each attorney es of the judgment attacked herein:  At preliminary hearing: Francis  San Diego Calif  At arraignment and plea: SAME AS A  At trial: NA  At sentencing: SAME AS	who represented you in the followin  J. Bardsley  fornia  BOVE  ABOVE
Give stage (a) (b) (c) (d)	□ Yes □ No  e the name and address, if known, of each attorney es of the judgment attacked herein:  At preliminary hearing:  San Diego Calif  At arraignment and plea:  At trial:  NA  At sentencing:  SAME AS	who represented you in the following J. Bardsley Cornia ABOVE
Give stage (a) (b) (c) (d)	e the name and address, if known, of each attorney es of the judgment attacked herein:  At preliminary hearing:  San Diego Calify At arraignment and plea:  At trial:  NA  At sentencing:  SAME AS  On appeal:	who represented you in the following J. Bardsley Cornia BOVE ABOVE
Give stage (a) (b) (c) (d) (e)	□ Yes □ No  e the name and address, if known, of each attorney es of the judgment attacked herein:  At preliminary hearing:  San Diego Calif  At arraignment and plea:  At trial:  NA  At sentencing:  SAME AS	who represented you in the following J. Bardsley Cornia BOVE ABOVE
Give stage (a) (b) (c) (d) (e)	e the name and address, if known, of each attorney es of the judgment attacked herein:  At preliminary hearing:  San Diego Calify At arraignment and plea:  At trial:  NA  At sentencing:  SAME AS  On appeal:  In any post-conviction proceeding:  MX PRO	who represented you in the following J. Bardsley fornia ABOVE  ABOVE

26.	Wer	ase 3:08-cv-00647-J-NLS Document 1 Filed 04/09/2008 Page 12 of 15 e you sentenced on more than one count of an indictment, or on more than one
	indic	es xx No
27.	judgi	you have any future sentence to serve after you complete the sentence imposed by the ment under attack?
	(a)	If so, give name and location of court that imposed sentence to be served in the future:
	(b <u>)</u>	Give date and length of the future sentence:
	(c)	Have you filed, or do you contemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to be served in the future?  ☐ Yes ☐ No
28.	Date	you are mailing (or handing to a correctional officer) this Petition to this court:
Whe this	erefore proce	e, Petitioner prays that the Court grant Petitioner relief to which he may be entitled in eding.
		SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (IF ANY)
l dec	clare u	(DATE)  ander penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on  Signature of Petitioner

#### S155731

# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

### En Banc

In re RICHARD ALLEN CUEVAS on Habeas Corpus

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

SUPREME COURT FILED

FEB 1 3 2008

Frederick K. Ohlrich Cleric

Deputy

GEORGE

Chief Justice

JS44

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

rules of court. This form, appro- sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS O	ved by the Judicial Conference of	f the United States in S	eptember	ne filing and service of pleadings 1974, is fequired for the use of the use o	s or other papers as require the the Clerk of Court for the pulpo	law except as provided by local se of initialiting the cryil docket
I (a) PLAINTIFFS		FILE	DEFENI	DANTS	2000 AP	R-9 PM 4: 23
		l Kea		A PAIN		
Rich	ard Allen Cuevas		H	ernandez cetal	S DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE PLAINTIFF				OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST	T LISTED DEFENDANT SES ONLY) BY	DEPUTY
(EXCEPT IN U.S.	PLAINTIFF CASES)	7		PLAINTIFF CAS	CASES, USE THE LOCATION	OF THE TRACT OR! AND
		Court		N LAND CONDEMNATION C	ASES, USE THE LOCATION	OF THE TRACT OF EARD
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAM	1E, ADDRESS, AND TELEPH	ONE NUMBER)	ATTOR	NEYS (IF KNOWN)		#
Richard Allen Cuevas 480 Alta Road San Diego, CA 92179 T-26470				'08 CV	0647 J N	ils
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	N (PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX	ONLY)	-		PARTIES (PLACE AN X IN C FOR PLAINTIFF AND	ONE BOX ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT
□ IU.S. Government Plaintiff	⊠3Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a	n Party)	PT DEF			PT DEF
☐ 2U.S. Government Defendan		izenship of Parties in	Citizen o	of Another State	□2 Incorporated and Princi in Another State	pal Place of Business $\square_5$ $\square_5$
	Item III		Citizen o	or Subject of a Foreign	□ <sub>3</sub> Foreign Nation	□6 □6
IV. CAUSE OF ACTION (CI		UNDER WHICH YO	•	ILING AND WRITE A BRIEI	F STATEMENT OF CAUSE.	DO NOT CITE
JURISDICTIONAL STATUT	es unless diversity).	20	TIC	C. 2254	•	
		20	0.5.	C. 2254		
V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLAC	E AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY)					
CONTRACT	<del> </del>	RTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJU	JRY	610 Agriculture	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	400 State Reappointment
☐ Marine ☐ Miller Act	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability	362 Personal Injury- Medical Malpractice		☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking
□ Negotiable Instrument	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC881	☐ 820 Copyrights	450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability		☐ 630 Liquor Laws	R30 Patent	460 Deportation
&Enforcement of Judgment	L	368 Asbestos Personal In	njury	640 RR & Truck	SOCIAL SECURITY	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
151 Medicare Act	340 Marine	Product Liability			I SUCIAL SECURITY	
_	L_	DEDCOMAL DOOD	EDTV	650 Airline Regs		<b>-</b> L ' '
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student	345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPE	ERTY	660 Occupational Safety/Health	□ 861 HIA (13958)	R10 Selective Service
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product Liability	☐ 370 Other Fraud	ERTY	_	☐ 861 HIA (13958) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	<b>-</b> L ' '
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student	345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	ERTY	□ 660 Occupational Safety/Health □ 690 Other  LABOR	□ 861 HIA (13958)	R10 Selective Service R50 Securities/Commodities
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product Liability	☐ 370 Other Fraud	ERTY	660 Occupational Safety/Health	☐ 861 HIA (13958) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	R10 Selective Service R50 Securities/Commodities Exchange
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153Recovery of Overpayment of Veterans Benefits	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage	ERTY	660 Occupational Safety/Health     690 Other     LABOR     710Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations     730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting &	☐ 861 HIA (13958) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	R10 Selective Service R50 Securities/Commodities Exchange R75 Customer Challenge 12 USC
□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)      □ 153Recovery of Overpayment of Veterans Benefits      □ 160 Stockholders Suits      □ Other Contract      □ 195 Contract Product Liability	345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle  355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability		660 Occupational Safety/Health     690 Other     LABOR     710Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations     730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act		R10 Selective Service R50 Securities/Commodities Exchange R75 Customer Challenge 12 USC R91 Agricultural Acts R92 Economic Stabilization Act R93 Environmental Matters
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veterans Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders Suits ☐ Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability REAL PROPERTY	345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle  355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETIT	IONS	660 Occupational Safety/Health     690 Other     LABOR     710Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations     730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act     740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 861 HIA (13958) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	R10 Selective Service R50 Securities/Commodities Exchange R75 Customer Challenge 12 USC R91 Agricultural Acts R92 Economic Stabilization Act R93 Environmental Matters R94 Energy Allocation Act
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)      153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veterans Benefits      160 Stockholders Suits     Other Contract     195 Contract Product Liability     REAL PROPERTY      210 Land Condemnation	345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle  355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	IONS	660 Occupational Safety/Health     690 Other     LABOR     710Fair Labor Standards Act     Cabor/Mgmt. Relations     730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act     740 Railway Labor Act     790 Other Labor Litigation		R10 Selective Service R50 Securities/Commodities Exchange R57 Customer Challenge 12 USC R91 Agricultural Acts R92 Economic Stabilization Act R93 Environmental Matters R94 Energy Allocation Act R95 Freedom of Information Act
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veterans Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders Suits ☐ Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle  355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting  442 Employment	□ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability ■ PRISONER PETIT □ 510 Motions to Vacate Habeas Corpus	IONS	660 Occupational Safety/Health     690 Other     LABOR     710Fair Labor Standards Act     Cabor/Mgmt. Relations     730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act     740 Railway Labor Act     790 Other Labor Litigation     791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	☐ 861 HIA (13958) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS - Third Party	R10 Selective Service R50 Securities/Commodities Exchange R75 Customer Challenge 12 USC R91 Agricultural Acts R92 Economic Stabilization Act R93 Environmental Matters R94 Energy Allocation Act
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)      153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veterans Benefits      160 Stockholders Suits     Other Contract     195 Contract Product Liability     REAL PROPERTY      210 Land Condemnation	345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle  355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting	□ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability ■ PRISONER PETIT □ 510 Motions to Vacate	IONS	660 Occupational Safety/Health     690 Other     LABOR     710Fair Labor Standards Act     Cabor/Mgmt. Relations     730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act     740 Railway Labor Act     790 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 861 HIA (13958) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS - Third Party	R10 Selective Service R50 Securities/Commodities Exchange R57 Customer Challenge 12 USC R91 Agricultural Acts R92 Economic Stabilization Act R93 Environmental Matters R94 Energy Allocation Act R95 Freedom of Information Act
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veterans Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders Suits ☐ Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Eiectmant	345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle  355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting  442 Employment  443 Housing/Accommodations	□ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability ■ PRISONER PETIT □ 510 Motions to Vacate Habeas Corpus ■ 530 General	IONS Sentence	660 Occupational Safety/Health     690 Other     LABOR     710Fair Labor Standards Act     Cabor/Mgmt. Relations     730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act     740 Railway Labor Act     790 Other Labor Litigation     791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	☐ 861 HIA (13958) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS - Third Party	R10 Selective Service R50 Securities/Commodities Exchange R75 Customer Challenge 12 USC R91 Agricultural Acts R92 Economic Stabilization Act R93 Environmental Matters R94 Energy Allocation Act R95 Freedom of Information Act Under Equal Access to Justice
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veterans Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders Suits ☐ Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Electmant ☐ 240 Tort to Land	345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle  355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting  442 Employment  443 Housing/Accommodations  444 Welfare	□ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability ■ PRISONER PETIT □ 510 Motions to Vacate Habeas Corpus ■ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty	IONS Sentence	660 Occupational Safety/Health     690 Other     LABOR     710Fair Labor Standards Act     Cabor/Mgmt. Relations     730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act     740 Railway Labor Act     790 Other Labor Litigation     791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	☐ 861 HIA (13958) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS - Third Party	R10 Selective Service R50 Securities/Commodities Exchange R75 Customer Challenge 12 USC R91 Agricultural Acts R92 Economic Stabilization Act R93 Environmental Matters R94 Energy Allocation Act R95 Freedom of Information Act Under Equal Access to Justice
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veterans Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders Suits ☐ Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Electmant ☐ 240 Tort to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle  355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting  442 Employment  443 Housing/Accommodations  444 Welfare  440 Other Civil Rights	□ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability ■ PRISONER PETIT □ 510 Motions to Vacate Habeas Corpus ■ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty □ 540 Mandamus & Other	IONS Sentence	660 Occupational Safety/Health     690 Other     LABOR     710Fair Labor Standards Act     Cabor/Mgmt. Relations     730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act     740 Railway Labor Act     790 Other Labor Litigation     791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	☐ 861 HIA (13958) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS - Third Party	R10 Selective Service R50 Securities/Commodities Exchange R75 Customer Challenge 12 USC R91 Agricultural Acts R92 Economic Stabilization Act R93 Environmental Matters R94 Energy Allocation Act R95 Freedom of Information Act Under Equal Access to Justice
□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)      □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veterans Benefits      □ 160 Stockholders Suits      □ Other Contract      □ 195 Contract Product Liability      REAL PROPERTY      □ 210 Land Condemnation      □ 220 Foreclosure      □ 230 Rent Lease & Electmant      □ 240 Tort to Land      □ 245 Tort Product Liability      □ 290 All Other Real Property  VI. ORIGIN (PLACE AN X I	345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle  355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting  442 Employment  443 Housing/Accommodations  444 Welfare  440 Other Civil Rights  N ONE BOX ONLY)	□ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability ■ PRISONER PETIT □ 510 Motions to Vacate Habeas Corpus □ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty □ 540 Mandamus & Other □ 550 Civil Rights	IONS Sentence	660 Occupational Safety/Health     690 Other     LABOR     710Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations     730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act     740 Railway Labor Act     790 Other Labor Litigation     791 Empl. Ret. Inc.     Security Act	R61 HIA (13958)  R62 Black Lung (923)  R63 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))  R64 SSID Title XVI  R65 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS  R70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)  R71 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609	R10 Selective Service R50 Securities/Commodities Exchange R75 Customer Challenge 12 USC R91 Agricultural Acts R92 Economic Stabilization Act R93 Environmental Matters R94 Energy Allocation Act R95 Freedom of Information Act R960 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice R90 Other Statutory Actions  7 Appeal to District Judge from agistrate Judgment
□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)      □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veterans Benefits      □ 160 Stockholders Suits      □ Other Contract      □ 195 Contract Product Liability      REAL PROPERTY      □ 210 Land Condemnation      □ 220 Foreclosure      □ 230 Rent Lease & Electmant      □ 240 Tort to Land      □ 245 Tort Product Liability      □ 290 All Other Real Property  VI. ORIGIN (PLACE AN X I	345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle  355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting  442 Employment  443 Housing/Accommodations  444 Welfare  440 Other Civil Rights  N ONE BOX ONLY)  Removal from  Court  CHECK IF THIS IS A C	□ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability ■ PRISONER PETIT □ 510 Motions to Vacate Habeas Corpus □ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty □ 540 Mandamus & Other □ 550 Civil Rights  from Appelate □ 4 R or Rec	Sentence  The control of the control	660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	R61 HIA (13958)  R62 Black Lung (923)  R63 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))  R64 SSID Title XVI  R65 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS  R70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)  R71 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609  R64 Multidistrict Litigation  Mi  Check YES only	R10 Selective Service R50 Securities/Commodities Exchange R75 Customer Challenge 12 USC R91 Agricultural Acts R92 Economic Stabilization Act R93 Environmental Matters R94 Energy Allocation Act R95 Freedom of Information Act Under Equal Access to Justice S90 Constitutionality of State R90 Other Statutory Actions
□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veterans Benefits □ 160 Stockholders Suits □ Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Electmant □ 240 Tort to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property  VI. ORIGIN (PLACE AN X I  ▼ 1 Original Proceeding □ 2 F State  VII. REQUESTED IN	345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle  355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting  442 Employment  443 Housing/Accommodations  444 Welfare  440 Other Civil Rights  N ONE BOX ONLY)  Removal from	□ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability ■ PRISONER PETIT □ 510 Motions to Vacate Habeas Corpus □ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty □ 540 Mandamus & Other □ 550 Civil Rights  from Appelate □ 4 R or Rec	Sentence  The control of the control	660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	R61 HIA (13958)  R62 Black Lung (923)  R63 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))  R64 SSID Title XVI  R65 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS  R70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)  R71 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609  R64 Multidistrict Litigation  Mi  Check YES only	R10 Selective Service R50 Securities/Commodities Exchange R75 Customer Challenge 12 USC R91 Agricultural Acts R92 Economic Stabilization Act R93 Environmental Matters R94 Energy Allocation Act R95 Freedom of Information Act R900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice R900 Constitutionality of State R900 Other Statutory Actions
□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veterans Benefits □ 160 Stockholders Suits □ Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability ■ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Electmant □ 240 Tort to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property  VI. ORIGIN (PLACE AN X I ■ 1 Original Proceeding □ 2 F ■ State  VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:  VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF	345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle  355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting  442 Employment  443 Housing/Accommodations  444 Welfare  440 Other Civil Rights  N ONE BOX ONLY)  Removal from	□ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability ■ PRISONER PETIT □ 510 Motions to Vacate Habeas Corpus ■ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty □ 540 Mandamus & Other □ 550 Civil Rights ■ or Rec CLASS 23	Sentence  The control of the control	660 Occupational Safety/Health   690 Other   LABOR   710Fair Labor Standards Act   720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations   730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act   740 Railway Labor Act   790 Other Labor Litigation   791 Empl. Ret. Inc.   Security Act   Security Act   15 Transferred from another district (specify)   EMAND \$	R61 HIA (13958)  R62 Black Lung (923)  R63 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))  R64 SSID Title XVI  R65 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS  R70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)  R71 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609  R64 Multidistrict Litigation  Mi  Check YES only  JURY DEMANI	R10 Selective Service R50 Securities/Commodities Exchange R75 Customer Challenge 12 USC R91 Agricultural Acts R92 Economic Stabilization Act R93 Environmental Matters R94 Energy Allocation Act R95 Freedom of Information Act R900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice R900 Constitutionality of State R900 Other Statutory Actions
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)   153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veterans Benefits   160 Stockholders Suits   Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   REAL PROPERTY   210 Land Condemnation   220 Foreclosure   230 Rent Lease & Electmant   240 Tort to Land   245 Tort Product Liability   290 All Other Real Property   VI. ORIGIN (PLACE AN X I State)   VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:   VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF	345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle  355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting  442 Employment  443 Housing/Accommodations  444 Welfare  440 Other Civil Rights  N ONE BOX ONLY)  Removal from	□ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability ■ PRISONER PETIT □ 510 Motions to Vacate Habeas Corpus ■ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty □ 540 Mandamus & Other □ 550 Civil Rights ■ or Rec CLASS 23	Sentence  The control of the control	660 Occupational Safety/Health   690 Other   LABOR     710 Fair Labor Standards Act     720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations     730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act     740 Railway Labor Act     790 Other Labor Litigation     791 Empl. Ret. Inc.     Security Act     15 Transferred from another district (specify)	B61 HIA (13958)  R62 Black Lung (923)  R63 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))  R64 SSID Title XVI  R65 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS  R70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)  R71 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609  RM  Check YES only in JURY DEMANI	R10 Selective Service R50 Securities/Commodities Exchange R75 Customer Challenge 12 USC R91 Agricultural Acts R92 Economic Stabilization Act R93 Environmental Matters R94 Energy Allocation Act R95 Freedom of Information Act R900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice R900 Constitutionality of State R900 Other Statutory Actions

CP SU 149593 \$5.00 4/9/08

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

# 149593 \* \* C O P Y \* \*
April 09, 2008
16:19:39 - SH

## Habeas Corpus

USA0 #.: 08CV0647

Judge..: NAPOLEON A JONES, JR

Amount.:

Check#.: STCA015-131780

\$5.00 CK

Total-> \$5.00

FROM: RICHARD ALLEN CUEVAS